

STATE OF MICHIGAN
WAYNE COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT

UNITED HOUSE OF PRAYER,
a District of Columbia non-profit
corporation, individually and as
representative of a class of
similarly-situated persons and entities,

Case No. 20-014218-CZ
Hon. Annette J. Berry

Plaintiff,

v.

CITY OF DETROIT,
a municipal corporation,

Defendant.

Gregory D. Hanley (P51204)
Jamie Warrow (P61521)
Edward F. Kickham Jr. (P70332)
Kickham Hanley PLLC
32121 Woodward Avenue, Suite 300
Royal Oak, Michigan 48073
(248) 544-1500
ghanley@kickhamhanley.com
ekickhamjr@kickhamhanley.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class

Sonal Hope Mithani (P51984)
Caroline B. Giordano (P76658)
Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, P.L.C.
101 North Main Street, 7th Floor
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48104
(734) 668 7786
mithani@millercanfield.com
giordano@millercanfield.com
Attorneys for Defendant

**STIPULATED ORDER REGARDING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF
CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT, NOTICE AND SCHEDULING**

At a session of said Court held in the
City of Detroit, County of Wayne
State of Michigan on 8/26/2022
PRESENT: HON. Annette J. Berry
Circuit Court Judge

Plaintiff has commenced this action (the “Lawsuit”) challenging a charge for private fire line service (the “PFL Charges”) imposed by the City on users of its private fire line services. Plaintiff alleged that the cost assumptions and data underlying the rates (“PFL Rates”) with which the City, through its Water and Sewerage Department (“DWSD”), calculates its PFL Charges result in PFL Charges that exceed the actual expenses of providing private fire line service to the City’s fire line

service customers and that the excess pays for the City's governmental functions other than private fire line service as more particularly described in Plaintiff's Complaint (the "Complaint") in the Lawsuit;

The Complaint alleges that the Lawsuit should be maintained as a class action on behalf of a class (the "Class") consisting of all persons or entities which paid or incurred PFL Charges prior to and during the pendency of the Lawsuit;

The City denies that the PFL Rates and PFL Charges are improper or in excess of the actual expenses of providing private fire line service to the City's fire line service customers; denies that it has committed any unlawful, wrongful or tortious acts or omissions, violated any constitutional provision or statute, or breached any duties of any kind whatsoever; denies that it is in any way liable to any member of the Class; and states that the claims asserted in the Lawsuit have no substance in fact or law, and the City has meritorious defenses to such claims; but, nevertheless, has agreed to settle this matter to avoid further expense, inconvenience, and distraction and risks of burdensome and protracted litigation, and to obtain total and final peace, satisfaction and protection from the claims asserted in the Lawsuit;

Plaintiff and Defendant in this action intend to make application to this Court, pursuant to MCR 3.501(E), for a Final Order approving the settlement of this class action in accordance with the terms set forth in the Class Action Settlement Agreement ("Agreement"), executed by the parties on August 17, 2022, and attached hereto as Exhibit 1, and they seek preliminary approval of the Agreement for purposes of, among other things, notifying class members of the proposed settlement;

The Court has been made aware of the settlement process leading to the agreement reached, and counsel have demonstrated that the settlement is within a range of reasonableness and is the result of arm's length bargaining of counsel well versed in the issues.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. Unless defined otherwise herein, all capitalized terms shall have the definitions and meanings accorded to them in the Agreement.

2. The Court preliminarily approves the terms of the Agreement as fair, reasonable and adequate. The Court finds that the Settlement was reached in the absence of collusion, and is the produce of informed, good-faith, arm's length negotiations between the Parties and their counsel. Pursuant to MCR 3.501, the "Class," as defined in Paragraph 2 of the Agreement, is hereby certified for settlement purposes only.

3. A hearing (the "Settlement Hearing") will be held before this Court on **October 21, 2022 at 9:00 a.m.**, to determine whether the proposed settlement between Plaintiff and Defendant, on the terms and conditions provided in the Agreement, is fair, reasonable and adequate and should be approved by the Court, to determine whether a final judgment should be entered dismissing this Lawsuit with prejudice, and without costs, and to determine whether to award attorneys' fees and expenses to Class Counsel and the amount of such fees and expenses.

4. The Court approves the notification to the members of the Class regarding the Settlement and right to hearing, as authorized in Paragraphs 5 and 7 of this Order, finding that such notification is the best notice practicable under the circumstances, is in compliance with MCR 3.501, and the requirements of due process of law, and will adequately inform Class Members of their rights.

5. On or before twenty-eight (28) days from the entry of this Order, Plaintiff's Counsel shall cause a Notice of Proposed Class Action Settlement ("Notice"), substantially in the form attached to the Agreement as Exhibit "B," to be mailed to members of the Class. In addition, Plaintiff's Counsel shall cause the Notice substantially in the form attached to the Agreement as Exhibit "A" to be published twice in the Detroit Free Press on or before twenty-eight (28) days from the entry of this Order.

6. The law firm of Kickham Hanley PLLC (“KH”) is hereby appointed as Class Counsel and Claims-Escrow Administrator for this Action. KH is authorized to use the services of a third-party administrator (“TPA”), as provided in the Agreement. Defendant will hold and administer a portion of the Settlement Fund to apply credits as described in Paragraphs 3, 5, 9, 10,11 and 12 of the Agreement. KH (with the assistance of a TPA) is authorized to implement the notice requirements set forth in and approved by this Order and to distribute refunds in accordance with the Agreement.

7. The Court directs anyone within the Class definition who wishes to be excluded from the Class and to exercise the right to opt-out of the Class to follow the opt-out procedures and deadlines set forth in the Notice. Any Class Member who does not opt-out may appear personally, or by counsel of his or her own choice and at his or her own expense, at the Settlement Hearing to show cause why: (a) the proposed settlement of the claims asserted should or should not be approved as fair, just, reasonable, adequate and in good faith; or (b) judgment should or should not be entered thereon; provided, however, that no Class Member will be heard at the Hearing or be entitled to contest the approval of the terms and conditions of the proposed settlement, and/or the judgment to be entered thereon approving the same, the attorneys’ fees and expenses to be paid, or other matter(s) that may be considered by the Court at or in connection with said settlement hearings. If any Class member chooses to appear, the Class Member shall file with the Court and serve upon counsel listed below at least thirty (30) days prior to the hearing written objections that set forth the name of this matter as defined in the Notice, the objector’s full name, address and telephone number, an explanation of the basis upon which the objector claims to be a Class Member, all grounds for the objection including any known legal support for the objection, the number of times in which the objector has objected to a class action settlement in the past five years and a caption of each case in which an objection was filed, the identity of all counsel representing the objector at the hearing, a statement confirming whether the objector intends to appear and/or testify at the hearing (along with

a disclosure of all testifying witnesses) and the signature of the objector (not just the objector's attorney):

Gregory D. Hanley
Kickham Hanley PLLC
32121 Woodward Avenue, Suite 300
Royal Oak, Michigan 48073
(248) 544-1500
Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class

and

Sonal Hope Mithani
Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, P.L.C.
101 North Main Street, Seventh Floor
Ann Arbor, MI 48104
(734) 668-7786
Attorneys for Defendant

8. Any Class Member who does not opt out and who does not object in the manner provided above shall be deemed to have waived any and all objections to the fairness, adequacy, or reasonableness of the proposed settlements or the award of attorney's fees and expenses, and shall be bound by all determinations and judgments in the Lawsuit concerning the Settlement, including, but not limited to the Release and Covenant set forth in Paragraph 26 of the Agreement.

9. As stated in Paragraph 6, KH is authorized to serve as the Claims-Escrow Administrator. The Claims-Escrow Administrator, with the assistance of a TPA, shall be responsible for holding the Settlement Fund in escrow, determining the eligibility of Class Members to receive credits or payments, determining the size of each Allowed Claim, distributing the payments to Class Members with Allowed Claims, preparing a distribution report along with the monetary amount of each Class Member's share of the settlement in accordance with Paragraph 11 of the Agreement, and refunding to Defendant the unclaimed portion of the Net Settlement Fund as required by Paragraph 13 of the Agreement. The Claims-Escrow Administrator shall also be responsible for: (a) recording receipt of all responses to the Notice; (b) preserving until further Order of this Court any and all

written communications from Class members or any other person in response to the Notice; and (c) making any necessary filings with the Internal Revenue Service. The Claims-Escrow Administrator may respond to inquiries, but copies of all written answers to such inquiries will be maintained and made available for inspection by all counsel in this action.

10. All papers in support of the settlement shall be filed with the Court and served on the other parties no later than seven (7) days prior to the Settlement Hearing.

11. The Court expressly reserves its right to adjourn and/or reschedule the Settlement Hearing without any further notice to members of the Class. The Court retains jurisdiction of this action to consider all further applications arising out of or connected with the proposed settlement herein.

12. All pretrial and trial proceedings in the Lawsuit are stayed and suspended until further order of the Court. Pending the final determination of the fairness, reasonableness and adequacy of the settlements, no Plaintiff or member of the class may institute or commence any action or proceeding against Defendant asserting any of the claims asserted in this action.

13. Subject to the terms of Paragraphs 14-15 of this Order, if the Agreement and Settlement is disapproved, in part or in whole, by the Court, or any appellate court; if dismissal of the Lawsuit with prejudice against Defendant cannot be accomplished; if a final judgment on the terms set forth in Paragraph 25 of the Agreement is not entered within One Hundred Fifty (150) days after the entry of the Order of Preliminary Approval, or if the Agreement and Settlement otherwise is not fully consummated and effected:

a. The Agreement shall have no further force and effect and it and all negotiations and proceedings connected therewith shall be without prejudice to the rights of Defendant, the Named Plaintiff and the Class;

b. Any discussions, offers, negotiations, or information exchanged in association with the Settlement shall not be discoverable or offered into evidence or used in the Lawsuit or any other action or proceeding for any purpose. No publicly disseminated information regarding the Settlement, including, without limitation, the Notice, court filings, orders and public statements may be used as evidence, or construed as admissions or concessions of fact by or against either Party on any point of fact or law. In addition, neither the fact of, nor any documents relating to, either Party's withdrawal from the Settlement, any failure of the Court to approve the Settlement, and/or any objections or interventions may be used as evidence or construed as an admission or concession by the City or by Plaintiff on any point of fact or law. All Parties to the Lawsuit shall stand in the same position as if the Agreement had not been negotiated, made or filed with the Court;

c. The Claims-Escrow Administrator shall immediately return to Defendant any and all monies provided by Defendant for settlement purposes; and

d. The Court shall grant reasonable continuances of the Lawsuit for the Parties to prepare and file dispositive motions, prepare for trial, or prepare and file appellate briefs.

14. Defendant and Class Counsel may, in their sole and exclusive discretion, elect to waive any or all of the terms, conditions or requirements stated in Paragraph 13 of this Order. Such waiver must be memorialized in a writing signed by Defendant and/or its counsel and/or Class Counsel and delivered via certified mail to all counsel of record, or it will have no force or effect.

15. Defendant and Class Counsel may, in their sole and exclusive discretion, elect to extend any or all of the deadlines stated in Paragraph 13 of this Order. Such extension must be memorialized in a writing signed by Defendant and/or its counsel and/or Class Counsel and delivered via certified mail to all counsel of record, or it will have no force or effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED:

/s/ Annette J. Berry 8/26/2022

Dated: 8/26, 2022.

Wayne County Circuit Court Judge

We hereby stipulate to the entry of the above order.

Approved as to form and substance:

/s/ Gregory D. Hanley _____
Gregory D. Hanley (P51204)
Kickham Hanley PLLC
32121 Woodward Avenue, Suite 300
Royal Oak, MI 48073
(248) 544-1500
Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class

/s/ Sonal Hope Mithani _____
Sonal Hope Mithani (P51984)
Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, P.L.C.
101 North Main Street, Seventh Floor
Ann Arbor, MI 48104
(734) 668-7786
Attorneys for Defendant

EXHIBIT – 1

STATE OF MICHIGAN
WAYNE COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT

UNITED HOUSE OF PRAYER,
a District of Columbia non-profit
corporation, individually and as
representative of a class of
similarly-situated persons and entities,

Case No. 20-014218-CZ
Hon. Annette J. Berry

Plaintiff,

v.

CITY OF DETROIT,
a municipal corporation,

Defendant.

Gregory D. Hanley (P51204)
Jamie Warrow (P61521)
Edward F. Kickham Jr. (P70332)
Kickham Hanley PLLC
32121 Woodward Avenue, Suite 300
Royal Oak, Michigan 48073
(248) 544-1500
ghanley@kickhamhanley.com
ekickhamjr@kickhamhanley.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class

Sonal Hope Mithani (P51984)
Caroline B. Giordano (P76658)
Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, P.L.C.
101 North Main Street, 7th Floor
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48104
(734) 668 7786
mithani@millercanfield.com
giordano@millercanfield.com
Attorneys for Defendant

CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

This Class Action Settlement Agreement (“Agreement”) is made this 17th day of August 2022, by and between the following (all of which are hereinafter collectively referred to as the “Parties”): Plaintiff United House of Prayer (“Named Plaintiff”), individually, and on behalf of a class of similarly situated persons and entities (as more specifically defined in Paragraph 2 below, the “Class”), acting by and through its counsel, Kickham Hanley PLLC (“Class Counsel”), and Defendant City of Detroit (the “City”).

WHEREAS, Plaintiff commenced the above captioned lawsuit (the “Lawsuit”) in Wayne County Circuit Court challenging a service charge for private fire line service (the “PFL Charges”)

imposed by the City on users of its private fire line services. Plaintiff alleges that the cost assumptions and data underlying the rates (“PFL Rates”) with which the City, through its Water and Sewerage Department (“DWSD”), calculates its PFL Charges result in PFL Charges which substantially exceed the actual expenses of providing private fire line service to the City’s fire line service customers and that the excess pays for the City’s governmental functions other than private fire line service.

WHEREAS, the Complaint alleges that the Lawsuit should be maintained as a class action on behalf of a class consisting of persons or entities who or which have paid or incurred the PFL Charges during the permitted time periods preceding the filing of this Lawsuit and/or at any time during the pendency of this action.

WHEREAS, the City denies that the City’s PFL Rates and PFL Charges are improper or substantially in excess of the actual expenses of providing private fire line service to the City’s fire line service customers; denies that it has intentionally or negligently committed any unlawful, wrongful or tortious acts or omissions, violated any constitutional provision or statute, or breached any duties of any kind whatsoever; denies that it is in any way liable to any member of the Class; and states that the claims asserted in the Lawsuit have no substance in fact or law, and the City has meritorious defenses to such claims. **The Court has made no rulings concerning the merits of the lawsuit at this time.**

WHEREAS, the City has agreed to enter into this Agreement to avoid further expense, inconvenience, and distraction and risks of burdensome and protracted litigation, and to obtain total and final peace, satisfaction and protection from the claims asserted in the Lawsuit.

WHEREAS, the Named Plaintiff in the Lawsuit and Class Counsel have been provided with discovery and have conducted investigations into the facts of the Lawsuit, have made a thorough study of the legal principles applicable to the claims in the Lawsuit, and have concluded that a class

settlement with the City in the amount and on the terms hereinafter set forth (the “Settlement”) is fair, reasonable, and adequate, and is in the best interest of the Class.

WHEREAS, the Parties desire to compromise their differences and to resolve and release all of the claims asserted by the Named Plaintiff and the Class in the Lawsuit.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the covenants and agreements herein, and intending to be legally bound, the Parties hereby agree as follows:

IMPLEMENTATION OF AGREEMENT

1. The Parties agree to cooperate in good faith, to use their best efforts, and to take all steps necessary to implement and effectuate this Agreement and the Settlement provided for herein.

CLASS CERTIFICATION

2. On May 17, 2021, the Court entered an order certifying a class of plaintiffs consisting of all persons and entities who/which paid or incurred the PFL Charges on or after July 1, 2020 and who/which incur or pay the PFL Charges during the pendency of this action (the “Class”). For settlement purposes, the Parties agree that the Class shall consist of all persons and entities who or which paid or incurred the PFL Charges between July 1, 2020 and June 30, 2022 (the “Class Period”), and who do not request to be excluded from the Class pursuant to MCR 3.501(D). This Agreement is intended to settle all of the claims of the members of the Class (“Class Members”).

SETTLEMENT FUND

3. The City will create a Settlement Fund (the “Settlement Fund”) in the amount of Three Million Nine Hundred Seventy Thousand Three Hundred and Seventy-One Dollars (\$3,970,371). Within 14 days after entry of an order preliminarily approving this settlement, the City shall deposit Two Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$200,000) into the IOLTA Trust Account of Class Counsel and such funds will be earmarked to pay costs incurred in administering the Settlement and will ultimately be supplemented in order to pay any refunds owed only to Class Members as set forth

in Paragraph 10(c), and remaining costs and attorneys' fees to Class Counsel, as determined by the Court. The City shall retain Three Million Seven Hundred Seventy Thousand Three Hundred and Seventy-One Dollars (\$3,770,371) of the Settlement Fund ("City Administered Portion") and such funds will either be transferred to Class Counsel's IOLTA Trust Account within the time frames set forth in Paragraph 11 and/or be used to apply the credits described in Paragraph 10 below. As set forth in Paragraph 11(b), the amounts deposited in Class Counsel's IOLTA Trust Account and retained by the City will be reconciled to reflect the total amount of refunds and credits distributable to Class Members per the Distribution Report and Determinations approved by the Court. The Settlement Fund other than the City Administered Portion shall be administered by Class Counsel (the "Claims-Escrow Administrator") with, at Class Counsel's option, the assistance of a third-party administrator ("TPA"). The expenses the Claims-Escrow Administrator incurs to the TPA shall be recoverable by the Claims-Escrow Administrator as a cost of the litigation under Paragraphs 27-30 of this Agreement (subject to Court approval) and payable out of the Settlement Fund. The Claims-Escrow Administrator may from time to time apply to the Court for instructions or orders concerning the administration of the Settlement Fund and may apply to the Internal Revenue Service for such rulings with respect thereto as it may consider appropriate. Disbursements from the Settlement Fund by the Claims-Escrow Administrator and the City shall be expressly conditioned upon an order of the Court permitting such disbursements.

4. Except as set forth in Paragraphs 27 through 30 of this Agreement, the Class and Class Counsel shall not claim any attorneys' fees or costs.

5. Subject to Paragraph 31, distribution of the Settlement Fund (including the issuance of credits by the City through the City Administered Portion) shall occur no later than twenty-one (21) days after the completion of the last of all of the following (the "Settlement Date"):

(a) entry of an order of final judicial approval by the Court approving this Agreement pursuant to Michigan Court Rule 3.501(E);

(b) entry of an order adjudicating Class Counsel's motion for an award of attorneys' fees and costs;

(c) entry of a final judgment of dismissal of the Lawsuit with prejudice with respect to the claims of the Named Plaintiff and all Class Members, except those putative Class Members who have requested to be excluded from the Class pursuant to MCR 3.501(D);

(d) the City's deposit of the Settlement Fund described in Paragraph 3 above;

(e) the Court's entry of the Stipulated Distribution Order described in Paragraph 11 below;

(f) the reconciliation process described in Paragraph 11.b below;

(g) the City's transfer of the funds described in Paragraph 11.c below; and

(h) the expiration of the 21-day time for appeal of all of the aforementioned orders and judgments and final resolution of any and all appeals of such orders and judgments, but only if any Class Member files a timely objection to any of the aforementioned orders and judgments.

6. As more specifically discussed below, and as provided in Paragraph 5, the Settlement Fund shall be distributed only pursuant to and in accordance with orders of the Court, as appropriate.

7. In the event that this Settlement fails to be consummated pursuant to this Agreement or fails to secure final approval by the Court for any reason or is terminated pursuant to Paragraph 31, the Settlement Fund shall immediately be returned to the City.

DISTRIBUTION OF SETTLEMENT FUND

8. The "Net Settlement Fund" to be distributed to the Class is the Settlement Fund less the combined total of: (a) attorneys' fees and any incentive award to the Class representative awarded

pursuant to Paragraphs 27-30; and (b) Class Counsel and Claims-Escrow Administrator expenses reimbursed pursuant to Paragraphs 27-30.

9. Each Class Member's share in the Net Settlement Fund shall be referred to herein as his, her or its "Pro Rata Share," and each Class Member's Pro Rata Share of the Net Settlement Fund will be distributed in accordance with Paragraphs 10(b) and (c). The Pro Rata Share to be allocated to each Class Member shall be determined according to Paragraph 10(f).

10. All Class Members may participate in the Settlement by receiving from the Net Settlement Fund a Credit or a cash distribution payment (i.e., "Refund") (as explained in Paragraph 10(b)-10(e)). The Net Settlement Fund shall be distributed as follows:

(a) Within 7 days after the Court's entry of an order preliminarily approving this Settlement, the City shall provide the Claims-Escrow Administrator with a list in electronic form of the names and billing addresses of all PFL customers as of June 30, 2020. In addition, on or before September 7, 2022, the City shall provide the Claims-Escrow Administrator with billing and payment records in electronic form that, at a minimum, provide for the Class Period (July 1, 2020 through June 30, 2022) the billing address, account number, the total actual (or estimated where actual is unavailable) PFL charges, and Outstanding Balance (defined as the unpaid balance for the PFL Charges that are 30 days past due as of July 31, 2022) for each active private fire line customer account or inactive private fire line customer account that is subject to collection or has not been written off by the City, provided that the account is tied to a person or entity that paid or incurred the PFL Charges on or after July 1, 2020. The Claims-Escrow Administrator will provide notice to the Class Members through first-class mail and publication in the Detroit Free Press. The Claims-Escrow Administrator is authorized to utilize the services of the TPA in disseminating notices to the Class. Such forms of notice will not be required to be exclusive and the Claims-Escrow Administrator will be allowed to

use any appropriate means to give notice to Class Members of the Settlement and the opportunity to obtain a refund, if appropriate.

(b) All Class Members as of June 30, 2022 who remain current water and sewer customers of the City as of 21 days prior to the hearing on the final approval of the settlement as described in Paragraph 25 are eligible to receive Credits against their PFL accounts. Class Members do not need to do anything to receive a Credit, but Class Members who have unpaid balances for the PFL Charges will have their Credits reduced by the amount of their PFL accounts' unpaid balance as further set forth in Paragraph 10(e).

(c) Only Class Members who are no longer PFL customers of the City as of 21 days prior to the hearing on the final approval of the Settlement as described in Paragraph 25 and do not have any unpaid account balances with DWSD which equal or exceed their Pro Rata Shares are eligible to receive a distribution of cash via check (a "Refund") from the Net Settlement Fund. To qualify to receive a Refund from the Net Settlement Fund, these Class Members will be required to submit sworn claims (the "Claims") which identify their names, addresses, and the periods of time in which they incurred or paid the PFL Charges in order to participate in the Settlement. Class Members who submit qualifying Claims will hereafter be referred to as the "Claiming Class Members." The Claiming Class Members will be required to submit those claims no later than 21 days prior to the hearing on the final approval of this settlement, as described in Paragraph 25 (the "Claims Period"). Claiming Class Members who qualify to receive Refunds but have an Outstanding Balance will have their Refund reduced by the amount of the Outstanding Balance.

(d) The foregoing is a general outline. The TPA will assist in implementing a process designed to minimize fraud and maximize dissemination of the refunds to the appropriate parties. In the event that two or more parties claim to have paid or incurred the PFL Charges for the same account, after notifying the City of the competing claims and considering any City information,

documents, and recommendation provided in response to the notice, the Claims-Escrow Administrator shall have the absolute discretion to determine which party or parties are entitled to participate in the settlement, and the City shall cooperate by providing information in its possession concerning the disputed property.

(e) The Claims-Escrow Administrator shall calculate each Class Member's pro rata share of the Net Settlement Fund (the "Pro Rata Share"). Only (i) those Class Members who paid or incurred PFL Charges during the Class Period and/or (ii) those Class Members with active private fire line accounts or inactive private fire line accounts that are subject to collection or have not been written off (provided that the account is tied to a person or entity that paid or incurred the PFL Charges on or after July 1, 2020) are entitled to distribution of a Pro Rata Share of the Net Settlement Fund. The Claims-Escrow Administrator is authorized to utilize the services of the TPA to calculate the Pro Rata Shares distributable to the Class Members. The size of each Class Member's Pro Rata Share shall be determined by (1) calculating the total amount of PFL Charges the City assessed against the property or properties of that Class Member during the Class Period and then (2) dividing that number by the total amount of PFL Charges the City assessed against all Class Members during the Class Period and then (3) multiplying that fraction by the amount of the Net Settlement Fund. An example appears below:

Total PFL Charges assessed against a Class Member during the Class Period -- \$5,000

Total PFL Charges assessed against all Class Members during the Class Period -- \$7,400,000

Net Settlement Fund -- \$2,600,000

Class Member's Pro Rata Share – $\$5,000 / \$7,400,000 \times \$2,600,000 = \$1,756.76$

The City will distribute the Pro Rata Shares of the Net Settlement Fund via the application of a Credit against a Class Member's PFL account for Class Members who (1) are PFL customers of the City as of 21 days prior to the hearing on the final approval of the Settlement as described in Paragraph 25,

(2) are not PFL customers of the City as of 21 days prior to the hearing on the final approval of the Settlement as described in Paragraph 25 but have unpaid account balances with DWSD which equal or exceed their Pro Rate Shares or (3) do not submit timely Claims as set forth in Paragraph 10(c). The Credit will reflect the amount of the Class Member's Pro Rata Share, which will be applied first to any unpaid account balance in the Class Member's PFL account at the time the Credit is applied and then against any future charges that accrue in the Class Member's PFL account until the Credit is exhausted. All other Class Members (i.e., the Claiming Class Members who submit a timely and completed Claim) are eligible for a Refund reflecting their Pro Rata Shares of the Net Settlement Fund less any Outstanding Balance. The Claims-Escrow Administrator will distribute the amount of the Refund, if any, to the Claiming Class Members.

11. No later than fourteen (14) days prior to the hearing on the final approval of this settlement (as described in Paragraph 25), the Claims-Escrow Administrator (through the TPA) shall file with the Court and serve upon the City a Distribution Report, which shall consist of the calculation of the Pro Rata Shares due to each Class Member, along with a list identifying each Class Member's Outstanding Balance and the total payments made by the Class Member during the Class Period. Because the amount of the Net Settlement Fund will not be known at the time the Distribution Report is prepared, the Report shall express each Class Member's Pro Rata Share as a percentage of the Settlement Fund.

(a) The City shall have seven (7) days to object to the Distribution Report. All objections shall be resolved by the Court at or before the final approval hearing.

(b) Within 7 days after entry of the Final Order as set forth in Paragraph 25 of this Agreement, the Claims-Escrow Administrator shall apply the percentages in the Distribution Report against the amount of the Net Settlement Fund to determine the monetary amount of each Class Member's Pro Rata Share and shall determine the amounts of Credits or Refunds due to each

Class Member based upon the information contained in the Distribution Report (the “Determinations”). Class Counsel and Counsel for the City, within fourteen (14) days after the Court’s entry of the Final Order, shall reconcile the amounts in the City Administered Portion (to issue Credits) and the amounts in Class Counsel’s IOLTA Trust Account (to issue Refunds) and shall submit to the Court a stipulated Distribution Order setting forth the Determinations and authorizing distributions from the Settlement Fund to the Class Members entitled to a Pro Rata Share distribution of the Net Settlement Fund (“Stipulated Distribution Order”) in accordance with the Distribution Report and the Determinations.

(c) Within 7 days after entry of the Stipulated Distribution Order, the City will remit funds from the City Administered Portion to Class Counsel’s IOLTA Trust Account in the amount which (when added to the \$200,000 deposit set forth in Paragraph 3) will fully fund (1) any Refunds in accordance with Paragraph 10(c) and the Stipulated Distribution Order approved by the Court and (2) the attorneys’ fees, costs and incentive award set forth in the Final Order.

(d) The Parties acknowledge that, because Class Members may have moved or ceased doing business after July 1, 2020, complete and current address information may not be available for all Class Members. The City, Named Plaintiff, counsel for any Parties, the Claims-Escrow Administrator and the TPA shall not have any liability for or to any Class Member with respect to determinations of the amount of any distribution of the Settlement Fund to any Class Member or determinations concerning the names or addresses of the Class Members.

12. At a time consistent with Paragraph 5, following the entry of the Stipulated Distribution Order and the events set forth in Paragraph 11(a)-(c), the Claims-Escrow Administrator and the City shall distribute each Class Member’s Pro Rata Share from the Net Settlement Fund. If no Stipulated Distribution Order is entered, upon the entry of an Order of the Court resolving any objections to the Distribution Report, and upon the resolution of any appeals thereof, the Claims-

Escrow Administrator and the City shall cause each Class Member's Pro Rata Share to be distributed in accordance with the Order of the Court. The Claims-Escrow Administrator is authorized to distribute the Pro Rata Shares and is further authorized to send checks reflecting Refunds due to Class Members under the Agreement. The Claims-Escrow Administrator is further authorized to transfer its held portion of the Net Settlement Fund to the TPA so that the TPA can distribute Refunds in accordance with this Agreement.

13. The amounts of money covered by checks distributing Refunds which: (a) are returned and cannot be delivered by the U.S. Postal Service after the Claims-Escrow Administrator (i) confirms that the checks were mailed to the identified addresses, and (ii) re-mails any checks if errors were made or it becomes aware of an alternative address or payee; or (b) have not been cashed within six (6) months of mailing, shall be refunded to the City within thirty (30) days after the expiration of the six (6) month period; and the Class Members to whom such checks were mailed shall be forever barred from obtaining any payment from the Settlement Fund. The Claims-Escrow Administrator shall also refund to the City on or before the Settlement Date any and all monies designated to pay the Pro Rata Shares of Class Members who request to be excluded from the Class pursuant to MCR 3.501(D).

14. Within thirty (30) days after the date on which the remaining Net Settlement Fund is distributed back to the City, the Claims-Escrow Administrator shall file with the Court and serve on counsel for the Parties a document setting forth the names and addresses of, and the amounts paid to, each distributee of funds from the Settlement Fund together with a list of Class Members entitled to receive a Pro Rata Share but whose distribution checks have been returned or have not been cashed.

PROSPECTIVE PROVISIONS

15. The City will implement the following PFL Rates beginning August 1, 2022 for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2023:

4 inch or less = \$28.84

6 inch = \$83.78

8 inch = \$178.54

10 inch = \$321.07

12 inch = \$518.62

16. Beginning August 1, 2023 and ending upon the earlier of (a) June 30, 2028 or (b) the implementation of PFL Rates calculated in connection with an updated cost-of-service study (the “Prospective Relief Period”), the City will limit its increases of the PFL Revenue Requirement to no greater than 1.671% of the total water revenue requirement covered by rates, except that, if during any fiscal year in the Prospective Relief Period, the estimated private fire line bad debt expense exceeds 10% of the projected PFL Revenue Requirement, the City will have the authority to increase the PFL Revenue Requirement above 1.671% of the total water revenue requirement covered by rates to account for higher private fire line bad debt expense.

17. The Class Members shall release the City as provided in Paragraph 26 below. In addition, provided that the City complies with the Prospective Relief in Paragraph 15 above during fiscal year 2023, the release provided in Paragraph 26 shall extend through June 30, 2023. Further, provided that the City complies with Paragraph 16 above during the Prospective Relief Period, the release provided in Paragraph 26 shall extend through the duration of the Prospective Relief Period.

18. The Parties to the Lawsuit agree that to the extent the City adjusts the PFL Rates in accordance with an updated cost-of-service study that reflects accepted industry methodologies and/or practices, the Parties will discuss whether to amend to the Prospective Provisions of this Agreement.

19. The Lawsuit will be dismissed with prejudice.

CLAIMS-ESCROW ADMINISTRATOR

20. The Claims-Escrow Administrator shall not receive a separate fee for its services as Claims-Escrow Administrator. Because Class Counsel is acting as the Claims-Escrow Administrator, the fee awarded to Class Counsel shall be deemed to include compensation for its service as Claims-Escrow Administrator. The Claims-Escrow Administrator, however, shall be entitled to be reimbursed for its out-of-pocket expenses incurred in the performance of its duties (including but not limited to the TPA's charges), which shall be paid solely from the Settlement Fund.

21. The Claims-Escrow Administrator, with the assistance of the TPA, shall have the responsibilities set forth in this Agreement, including, without limitation, holding the Settlement Fund in escrow, determining the eligibility of Class Members to receive Credits and Refunds, determining the Pro Rata Shares, distributing the Refunds to Class Members receiving a Pro Rata Share, filing a Distribution Report and Determinations consistent with Paragraph 11 and refunding to the City portions of the Net Settlement Fund as required by Paragraph 13. The Claims-Escrow Administrator, with the assistance of the TPA, shall also be responsible for: (a) recording receipt of all responses to the notice; (b) preserving until further Order of the Court any and all written communications from Class Members or any other person in response to the notice; and (c) making any necessary filings with the Internal Revenue Service. The Claims-Escrow Administrator may respond to inquiries, but copies of all written answers to such inquiries will be maintained and made available for inspection by all counsel in this Lawsuit. The Claims-Escrow Administrator may delegate some or all of these responsibilities to the TPA except only the Claims-Escrow Administrator may determine eligibility of Class Members to receive Credits and Refunds.

22. Any findings of fact of the Claims-Escrow Administrator and/or the TPA shall be made solely for the purposes of the allocation and distribution of the Pro Rata Shares, and, in accordance with Paragraph 35, shall not be admissible for any purpose in any judicial proceeding,

except as required to determine whether the claim of any Class Member should be allowed in whole or in part.

NOTICE AND APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT

23. As soon as practicable, but in no event later than five (5) days after the execution of this Agreement, Class Counsel and Counsel for the City shall submit this Agreement to the Court, either by stipulation or joint motion, pursuant to Michigan Court Rule 3.501, for the Court's preliminary approval, and shall request an Order of the Court, substantially in the form attached as Exhibit "B," including the following terms:

(a) scheduling of a Settlement approval hearing to be held as soon as practicable after the entry of such Order but in no event later than ninety (90) days thereafter to determine the fairness, reasonableness, and adequacy of this Agreement and the Settlement; whether the Agreement and Settlement should be approved by the Court; and whether to award the attorneys' fees and expenses requested by Class Counsel;

(b) directing that notice, substantially in the form of Exhibit "C," be given to the members of the Class advising them of the following:

- i. the terms of the proposed Settlement consented to by the Named Plaintiff and the City;
- ii. the scheduling of a hearing for final approval of the Agreement and Settlement;
- iii. the rights of the members of the Class to appear at the hearing to object to approval of the proposed Settlement or the requested attorneys' fees and expenses, provided that, if they choose to appear, they must file and serve at least thirty (30) days prior to the hearing written objections that set forth the name of this matter as defined in the Notice, the objector's full name, address and telephone number, an explanation of the basis upon which the objector

claims to be a Class Member, all grounds for the objection including any known legal support for the objection, the number of times in which the objector has objected to a class action settlement in the past five years and a caption of each case in which an objection was filed, the identity of all counsel representing the objector at the hearing, a statement confirming whether the objector intends to appear and/or testify at the hearing (along with a disclosure of all testifying witnesses) and the signature of the objector (not just the objector's attorney);

- iv. the nature of the release to be constructively entered upon approval of the Agreement and Settlement;
- v. the binding effect on all Class Members of the judgment to be entered should the Court approve the Agreement and Settlement; and
- vi. the right of members of the Class to opt out of the Class, the procedures for doing so, and the deadlines for doing so, including the deadline with respect to filing and/or serving written notification of a decision to opt out of the Class (such deadline must be at least thirty (30) days prior to the hearing);

(c) providing that the manner of such notice shall constitute due and sufficient notice of the hearing to all persons entitled to receive such notice and requiring that proof of such notice be filed at or prior to the hearing; and

(d) appointing Kickham Hanley PLLC as Claims-Escrow Administrator;

24. Notice to Class Members of the proposed settlement shall be the responsibility of Class Counsel pursuant to orders of the Court. Class Counsel shall be entitled to be reimbursed for the cost of such notice from the Settlement Fund, and Class Counsel shall make application for costs of notice to the Court at least seven (7) days before the Settlement approval hearing with the Court

approving any costs at the time of the Settlement approval hearing. Such notice shall be substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit “C,” and mailed by Class Counsel (or the TPA) to the Class Members at the addresses provided by the City within twenty-eight (28) days of entry of the Order Regarding Preliminary Approval of this Agreement. Class Counsel will also provide publication notice to the Class, which shall be substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit “A” and shall be published in the Detroit Free Press on two occasions at least 30 days prior to the end of the Claims Period.

25. After the notice discussed in Paragraphs 23 and 24 has been mailed, the Court shall, consistent with paragraph 23, conduct a hearing at which it rules on any objections to this Agreement and a joint motion for entry of a Final Order approving of this Settlement and Agreement. If the Court approves this Agreement pursuant to Michigan Court Rule 3.501(E), a final judgment, substantially in the form of Exhibit “D,” shall be entered by the Court: (a) finding that the notice provided to Class Members is the best notice practicable under the circumstances and satisfies the due process requirements of the United States and Michigan Constitutions; (b) approving the Settlement set forth in this Agreement as fair, reasonable, and adequate; (c) dismissing with prejudice and without costs to any Party any and all claims of the Class Members against the City, excluding only those persons who in timely fashion requested exclusion from the Class; (d) awarding Class Counsel incentive awards, attorneys’ fees, costs and expenses as granted by the Court upon motion of Class Counsel; (e) reserving jurisdiction over all matters relating to the administration of this Agreement, including allocation and distribution of the Settlement Fund; and (f) retaining jurisdiction to protect and effectuate this judgment.

RELEASE AND COVENANT NOT TO SUE

26. On the Settlement Date, each Class Member who has not timely requested exclusion therefrom shall be deemed to have individually executed, on behalf of the Class Member and his or

her heirs, successors and assigns, if any, the following Release and Covenant Not To Sue, and the Final Order and Judgment to be entered by the Court in connection with the approval of this Settlement shall so provide:

In executing the Release and Covenant Not To Sue, each Class Member, on behalf of himself, herself or itself, and his, her or its parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, members, shareholders, predecessors, heirs, administrators, officers, directors, successors, assigns, and any person the Class Member represents, intending to be legally bound hereby, for good and valuable consideration, the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, hereby absolutely, fully and forever releases, relieves, remises and discharges the City, and each of its successors and assigns, present and former agents, representatives, employees, insurers, affiliated entities, attorneys and administrators, of and from any and all manner of actions, causes of action, suits, debts, accounts, understandings, contracts, agreements, controversies, judgments, consequential damages, compensatory damages, punitive damages, claims, liabilities, and demands of any kind or nature whatsoever, known or unknown, which arise from the beginning of time through July 31, 2022 concerning (1) the City's calculation or assessment of the PFL Rates and/or PFL Charges; (2) the components of costs included in the PFL Rates and/or PFL Charges; (3) the City's efforts to charge and/or collect PFL Rates and/or PFL Charges; and/or (4) the City's PFL's Revenue Requirement. This release is intended to include all claims that were asserted or could have been asserted in the Lawsuit concerning the City's PFL Rates, PFL Charges, and/or PFL Revenue Requirement. In executing the Release and Covenant Not to Sue, each Class Member also covenants that: (a) except for actions or suits based upon breaches of the terms of this Agreement or to enforce rights provided for in this Agreement, he, she or it will refrain from commencing any action or suit, or prosecuting any pending action or suit, in law or in equity, against the City on account of any action or cause of action released hereby; (b) none of the claims released under the Release and Covenant Not To Sue has been assigned to any other party; and (c) he, she or it accepts and assumes the risk that if any fact or circumstance is found, suspected, or claimed hereinafter to be other than or different from the facts or circumstances now believed to be true, the Release and Covenant Not To Sue shall be and remain effective notwithstanding any such difference in any such facts or circumstances.

ATTORNEYS' FEES AND EXPENSES

27. Class Counsel shall be paid an award of attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses from the Settlement Fund. For purposes of an award of attorneys' fees and costs, the Settlement Fund shall be deemed to be a "common fund," as that term is used in the context of class action settlements. Class Counsel shall not make an application for any attorneys' fees and costs which are in addition to the "common fund" attorneys' fees and costs contemplated by this Agreement.

28. The amount of attorneys' fees, costs and expenses to be paid to Class Counsel shall be determined by the Court applying legal standards and principles applicable to awards of attorneys' fees and costs from common fund settlements in class action cases. Class Counsel agrees that it will not seek an award of attorneys' fees in excess of Thirty-Three Percent (33%) of the Settlement Fund. Class Counsel will file and serve a motion to approve attorneys' fees, costs and expenses, and to approve incentive awards no later than seven (7) days before the hearing for final approval of the Settlement. The City will not join in that motion, however the City will not oppose Class Counsel's motion, provided the motion complies with this Agreement. The City will also not oppose any request for an incentive award on behalf of class representative United House of Prayer in an amount not to exceed Twenty Thousand Dollars (\$20,000) to be paid solely from the Settlement Fund.

29. The award of attorneys' fees, costs and expenses to be paid from the Settlement Fund to Class Counsel pursuant to Paragraph 28 does not include any out-of-pocket expenses incurred by Kickham Hanley PLLC acting in its capacity as Class Counsel and/or Claims-Escrow Administrator. Kickham Hanley PLLC shall make a separate application for such expenses.

30. The Court shall determine and approve the award of attorneys' fees and costs to Class Counsel, reimbursement of the expenses incurred by the Claims-Escrow Administrator, and any incentive award to United House of Prayer in connection with the final approval hearing. The attorneys' fees, costs and expenses awarded to Class Counsel and the Claims-Escrow Administrator and any incentive award to United House of Prayer shall be paid from the Settlement Fund upon the Settlement Date.

TERMINATION

31. If this Agreement and Settlement is disapproved, in part or in whole, by the Court, or any appellate court; if dismissal of the Lawsuit with prejudice against the City cannot be accomplished; if the Court does not enter an Order of Preliminary Approval substantially in the form

attached as Exhibit “B” within twenty-eight (28) days after its submission to the Court; if a final judgment on the terms set forth in Paragraph 25 is not entered within one hundred fifty (150) days after the entry of the Order of Preliminary Approval substantially in the form attached as Exhibit “B”; if the Court (or any appellate court) alters the terms of this Settlement in any material way not acceptable to the City or to Class Counsel; or if this Agreement and Settlement otherwise is not fully consummated and effected:

(a) This Agreement shall have no further force and effect, and it and all negotiations and proceedings connected therewith shall be without prejudice to the rights of the City, the Named Plaintiff and the Class;

(b) The Claims-Escrow Administrator shall immediately return to the City the Settlement Fund;

(c) The Parties shall return to the status quo ante in the Lawsuit as if the Parties had not entered into this Agreement, and all of the Parties’ respective pre-Settlement claims and defenses will be preserved; and

(d) Counsel for the Parties shall consent to reasonable continuances of the Lawsuit for the Parties to prepare and file dispositive motions, prepare for trial, or prepare and file appellate briefs.

32. The City and Class Counsel may, in their sole and exclusive discretion, elect to waive any or all of the terms, conditions or requirements stated in Paragraph 31. Such waiver must be memorialized in a writing signed by the City and/or its Counsel and Class Counsel and delivered via certified mail to all counsel or it will have no force or effect.

33. The City and Class Counsel may, in their sole and exclusive discretion, elect to extend any or all of the deadlines stated in Paragraph 31. Such extension must be memorialized in a writing

signed by the City and/or its Counsel and/or Class Counsel and delivered via certified mail to all counsel of record, or it will have no force or effect.

34. In the event the Settlement is terminated in accordance with Paragraph 31, any discussions, offers, negotiations, or information exchanged in association with this Settlement shall not be discoverable or offered into evidence or used in the Lawsuit or any other action or proceeding for any purpose.

USE OF THIS AGREEMENT

35. This Agreement, the Class Period, the Settlement provided for herein (whether or not consummated), and any proceedings taken pursuant to this Agreement shall not be:

(a) construed by anyone for any purpose whatsoever as, or deemed to be, evidence of a presumption, concession or an admission by the City of the truth of any fact alleged or the validity of any claims, or of the deficiency or waiver of any defense that has or could have been asserted in the Lawsuit, or of any liability, fault or wrongdoing on the part of the City; or

(b) offered or received as evidence of a presumption, concession or an admission of any liability, fault, or wrongdoing, or referred to for any other reason by the Named Plaintiff, Class Members, or Class Counsel in the Lawsuit, or any other person or entity not a party to this Agreement in any other action or proceeding other than such proceedings as may be necessary to effectuate the provisions of this Agreement;

(c) construed by anyone for any purpose whatsoever as an admission or concession that the Settlement amount represents the amount which could be or would have been recovered after trial, or the applicable time frame for any purported amounts of recovery; or

(d) construed more strictly against one Party than the other, this Agreement having been prepared by Counsel for the Parties as a result of arms-length negotiations between the Parties.

WARRANTIES

36. Class Counsel further warrants that in its opinion the Settlement Fund represents fair consideration for and an adequate settlement of the claims of the Class released herein.

37. The undersigned have secured the consents of all persons necessary to authorize the execution of this Agreement and related documents and they are fully authorized to enter into and execute this Agreement on behalf of the Parties.

38. Class Counsel deems this Agreement to be fair and reasonable, and has arrived at this Agreement in arms-length negotiations taking into account all relevant factors, present or potential.

39. The Parties intend this Agreement to be a final and complete resolution of all disputes between them with respect to the claims arising in the Lawsuit.

40. The Parties have relied upon the advice and representation of counsel, selected by them, concerning their respective legal liability for the claims hereby released. The Parties have read and understand fully this Agreement, and have been fully advised as to the legal effect thereof by their respective Counsel and intend to be legally bound by the same.

BINDING EFFECT AND ENFORCEMENT

41. All covenants, terms, conditions and provisions of this Agreement shall be binding upon, inure to the benefit of, and be enforceable by the respective predecessors and successors, and past and present assigns, heirs, executors, administrators, legal representatives, trustees, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, parents (and subsidiaries thereof), partnerships and partners, and all of their officers, directors, agents, employees and attorneys, both past and present, of each of the Parties hereto. It is understood that the terms of this paragraph are contractual and not a mere recital.

42. This Agreement, with the attached Exhibits A through D, constitutes a single, integrated written contract and sets forth the entire understanding of the Parties. Any previous discussions, agreements, or understandings between or among the Parties regarding the subject matter

herein are hereby merged into and superseded by this Agreement. No covenants, agreements, representations, or warranties of any kind whatsoever have been made by any Party hereto, except as provided for herein.

43. All of the Exhibits attached hereto are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

44. This Agreement shall be construed and governed in accordance with the laws of the State of Michigan.

45. Before filing any motion in the Court raising a dispute arising out of or related to this Agreement, the Parties shall consult with each other and discuss submitting any disputes to non-binding mediation. The Parties shall also certify to the Court that they have consulted and either have been unable to resolve the dispute in mediation or are unwilling to submit the dispute to mediation and the reasons why.

46. The Court shall retain jurisdiction with respect to the implementation and enforcement of the terms of this Agreement, and the Parties shall submit to jurisdiction of the Court for purposes of implementing and enforcing the settlement reflected in this Agreement.

MODIFICATION AND EXECUTION

47. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, all of which shall constitute a single, entire agreement.


48. Change or modification of this Agreement, or waiver of any of its provisions, shall be valid only if contained in a writing executed on behalf of all the Parties hereto by their duly authorized representatives.

49. This Agreement shall become effective and binding (subject to all terms and conditions herein) upon the Parties when it has been executed by the undersigned representatives of the Parties.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, each of the Parties executes this Agreement through his, her or its duly authorized representatives.

KICKHAM HANLEY PLLC

In its capacity as Class Counsel and on behalf of the Named Plaintiff in the Lawsuit and the Class

By: 
Gregory D. Hanley (P51204)
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
32121 Woodward Avenue, Suite 300
Royal Oak, MI 48073
(248) 544-1500

Dated: 8/17/2022

CITY OF DETROIT

By: 

Its: Director

Dated: 8/17/2022

EXHIBIT A

LEGAL NOTICE

NOTICE OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF CLASS ACTION

TO: All persons and entities who or which have paid or incurred the City of Detroit's (the "City") charges for private fire line service between July 1, 2020 and June 30, 2022.

IF YOU PAID THE CITY FOR PRIVATE FIRE LINE SERVICE AT ANY TIME BETWEEN JULY 1, 2020 AND JUNE 30, 2022 AND ARE STILL A WATER AND SEWER CUSTOMER OF THE CITY YOU WILL RECEIVE A CREDIT AGAINST YOUR PFL ACCOUNT. YOU NEED NOT FILE A CLAIM OR TAKE OTHER ACTION TO RECEIVE THIS CREDIT.

IF YOU PAID THE CITY FOR PRIVATE FIRE LINE SERVICE AT ANY TIME BETWEEN JULY 1, 2020 AND JUNE 30, 2022, ARE NO LONGER A WATER OR SEWER CUSTOMER OF THE CITY, AND WISH TO RECEIVE A CASH REFUND IF YOU QUALIFY FOR SUCH REFUND, YOU MUST SUBMIT A CLAIM FORM ON OR BEFORE SEPTEMBER 30, 2022 AND MAIL IT TO: KICKHAM HANLEY PLLC, 32121 WOODWARD AVENUE, SUITE 300, ROYAL OAK, MICHIGAN 48073 OR EMAIL THE COMPLETED FORM TO KHTEMP@KICKHAMHANLEY.COM OR SUBMIT CLAIM FORM ONLINE AT WWW.KICKHAMHANLEY.COM. THE CLAIM FORM MUST BE RECEIVED BY KICKHAM HANLEY ON OR BEFORE SEPTEMBER 30, 2022.

A Michigan court authorized this notice.

You are hereby notified that a proposed settlement in the amount of \$3,970,371 has been reached with the City of Detroit in a class action lawsuit pending in Wayne County Circuit Court titled *United House of Prayer v. City of Detroit*, Case No. 20-014218-CZ, presiding Judge Annette J. Berry (the "Lawsuit"). The Lawsuit challenges a charge for private fire line service (the "PFL Charges") imposed by the City on users of its private fire line services. Plaintiff has brought these claims on behalf of itself and a class of all others similarly situated.

BASIC INFORMATION ABOUT THE SETTLEMENT

Plaintiff is an entity which has paid the PFL Charges imposed by the City. Plaintiff contends that the cost assumptions and data underlying the rates with which the City, through its Water and Sewerage Department ("DWSD"), calculates its PFL Charges result in PFL Charges which substantially exceed the actual expenses of providing private fire line service to the City's fire line service customers, and that the excess pays for the City's governmental functions other than private fire line service.

The Plaintiff seeks a judgment from the Court against the City which would order and direct the City to disgorge and refund all PFL Charges to which Plaintiff and the class are entitled and would grant any other appropriate relief.

The City denies that the City's PFL Charges are improper or substantially in excess of the actual expenses of providing private fire line service to the city's fire line service customers. Thus, the City denies the Plaintiff's claims, denies any wrongdoing, and contends that it should prevail in the Lawsuit. **The Court has made no rulings concerning the merits of the Lawsuit at this time.**

On May 17, 2021, the Court entered an order certifying the Lawsuit as a class action. If you paid or incurred PFL Charges between July 1, 2020 and June 30, 2022 you are a member of the Class. The Settlement Agreement is intended to settle all of the Claims of the Class.

THE TERMS OF THE SETTLEMENT

The principal terms of the Settlement Agreement are as follows:

The City has agreed to create a Settlement Fund (the "Settlement Fund") in the amount of Three Million Nine Hundred Seventy Thousand Three Hundred and Seventy-One Dollars (\$3,970,371) for the benefit of the Class ("Settlement Amount"). The Settlement Amount will be utilized, with Court approval, to provide credits

or refunds to the Class, and to pay Class Counsel an award of attorneys' fees, the total amount of which shall not exceed 33% of the Settlement Amount, and expenses for the conduct of the litigation.

The "Net Settlement Fund" is the Settlement Amount less the combined total of: (a) the attorneys' fees awarded to Class Counsel by the Court; (b) expenses reimbursed pursuant to the terms of the Settlement; (c) out-of-pocket expenses of the Claims-Escrow Administrator, and (d) any incentive award made by the Court to the class representative in an amount not to exceed \$20,000.

The Net Settlement Fund shall be used to give credits or pay refunds to Class Members as described in the Settlement Agreement.

Each Class Member's share in the Net Settlement Fund is his, her or its "Pro Rata Share," and each Class Member's Pro Rata Share of the Net Settlement Fund will be distributed via an account credit and/or a refund payment. The Pro Rata Share to be allocated to each Class Member shall be determined and distributed as described in paragraph 10(e) of the Settlement Agreement.

The City will charge PFL Rates in the future as delineated in Paragraphs 15 and 16 of the Settlement Agreement.

HOW TO PARTICIPATE IN THE SETTLEMENT

All Class Members as of June 30, 2022 who remain current water and sewer customers of the City as of 21 days prior to the hearing on the final approval of the settlement are eligible to receive Credits against their PFL accounts. Class Members do not need to do anything to receive a Credit, but Class Members who have unpaid balances for the PFL Charges that are more 30 days past due as of July 31, 2022 (the "Outstanding Balance") will have their Credits reduced by the amount of their PFL accounts' Outstanding Balance as further set forth in Paragraph 10(e) of the Settlement Agreement.

Class Members who are no longer PFL customers of the City as of 21 days prior to the hearing on the final approval of the settlement and do not have any unpaid account balances with DWSD which equal or exceed their Pro Rata Shares are eligible to receive a distribution of cash via check (a "Refund") from the Net Settlement Fund. To qualify to receive a Refund from the Net Settlement Fund, these Class Members must no longer be water or sewer customers of the City and must submit sworn claims (the "Claims") (the "Claims") which identify their names, addresses, and the periods of time in which they incurred or paid the PFL Charges in order to participate in the Settlement. Class Members who submit qualifying Claims are referred to as "Claiming Class Members." The Claiming Class Members will be required to submit those claims no later than September 30, 2022.

It is very important for any Class Member who paid the PFL Charges but who is no longer a water or sewer customer of the City as of 21 days prior to the hearing on the final approval of the to submit a Claim. The only way for Class Members who are no longer water, sewer or stormwater customers of the City to receive a portion of the Net Settlement Fund is for them to file Claims.

HOW TO REQUEST EXCLUSION FROM THE SETTLEMENT

Class Members who wish to exclude themselves from the Settlement may write to the Administrator, stating that they do not wish to participate in the Settlement and that they wish to retain their right to file an action against the City. This proposed settlement should not be interpreted, in any way, as suggesting that the claims alleged against the City have legal or factual merit. The City has challenged the validity of Plaintiff's claims and many of the substantive legal and factual issues have not been resolved. **This request for exclusion must be postmarked no later than September 30, 2022 and mailed to:**

**Kickham Hanley PLLC
32121 Woodward Avenue, Suite 300
Royal Oak, Michigan 48073
or Emailed to khtemp@kickhamhanley.com.**

By remaining a Class Member, you will be bound by the terms of the proposed settlement and will be barred from bringing a separate action against the City for the claims asserted in the Lawsuit at your own expense through your own attorney. You will, however, receive your Pro Rata Share of the Net Settlement Fund if you do not request exclusion from the Class. If you were to successfully pursue such a separate action to conclusion, recovery might be available to you which is not available in this class action settlement. Whether to remain a member of this class or to request exclusion from this class action to attempt to pursue a separate action at your own expense without the assistance of the City in this Action is a question you should ask your own attorney. Class Counsel cannot and will not advise you on this issue.

THE SETTLEMENT HEARING

Pursuant to the Order of the Court dated _____, a Settlement Hearing will be held in the Wayne County Circuit Court, Detroit, Michigan 48226 at 9 a.m., on October 21, 2022, to determine whether the proposed Settlement as set forth in the Settlement Agreement dated _____, is fair, reasonable, and adequate and should be approved by the Court, whether the Lawsuit should be dismissed pursuant to the Settlement and whether counsel for Plaintiff Class should be awarded counsel fees and expenses. At the Settlement Hearing, any member of the Class may appear in person or through counsel and be heard to the extent allowed by the Court in support of, or in opposition to, the fairness, reasonableness and adequacy of the proposed Settlement.

OBJECTIONS TO THE SETTLEMENT

No Class member will be heard in opposition to the Proposed Settlement unless, on or before September 23, 2022 they file and serve written objections that set forth the name of this matter as defined in the Notice, the objector's full name, address and telephone number, an explanation of the basis upon which the objector claims to be a Class Member, all grounds for the objection including any known legal support for the objection, the number of times in which the objector has objected to a class action settlement in the past five years and a caption of each case in which an objection was filed, the identity of all counsel representing the objector at the hearing, a statement confirming whether the objector intends to appear and/or testify at the hearing (along with a disclosure of all testifying witnesses) and the signature of the objector (not just the objector's attorney) and serves by first class mail copies thereof upon each of the following attorneys:

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Gregory D. Hanley, Esq.
Kickham Hanley PLLC
32121 Woodward Avenue, Suite 300
Royal Oak, Michigan 48073

Attorneys for Defendants

Sonal Hope Mithani, Esq.
Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone
101 North Main Street, 7th Floor
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48104

Any Class member who does not make and serve written objections in the manner provided above shall be deemed to have waived such objections and shall be forever foreclosed from making any objections (by appeal or otherwise) to the proposed Settlement.

THE RELEASE OF THE CITY BY THE CLASS

The Class Members shall release the City as provided in Paragraph 26 of the Settlement Agreement.

HOW TO FIND ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

For a more detailed statement of the matters involved in the Lawsuit, including the terms of the proposed Settlement, you are referred to papers on file in the Lawsuit, which may be inspected during regular business hours at the Office of the Clerk of Circuit Court for Wayne County, Michigan. You may also view the Settlement Agreement and other important court documents at www.kickhamhanley.com.

Should you have any questions with respect to this Notice of the proposed settlement of the Lawsuit generally, you should raise them with your own attorney or direct them to counsel for the Class, **IN WRITING OR BY EMAIL TO KHTEMP@KICKHAMHANLEY.COM, NOT BY TELEPHONE**, identified as Attorneys for Plaintiffs, above. **DO NOT CONTACT THE COURT, THE CLERK OF THE COURT, THE DEFENDANT, OR THE ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT.**

IF YOU PAID THE CITY FOR PRIVATE FIRE LINE SERVICE AT ANY TIME BETWEEN JULY 1, 2020 AND JUNE 30, 2022 AND ARE STILL A WATER AND SEWER CUSTOMER OF THE CITY YOU WILL RECEIVE A CREDIT AGAINST YOUR PFL ACCOUNT. YOU NEED NOT FILE A CLAIM OR TAKE OTHER ACTION TO RECEIVE THIS CREDIT.

IF YOU PAID THE CITY FOR PRIVATE FIRE LINE SERVICE AT ANY TIME BETWEEN JULY 1, 2020 AND JUNE 30, 2022 AND ARE NO LONGER A WATER OR SEWER CUSTOMER OF THE CITY, AND WISH TO RECEIVE A CASH REFUND, IF YOU QUALIFY FOR SUCH REFUND, YOU MUST SUBMIT THE ATTACHED CLAIM FORM ON OR BEFORE SEPTEMBER 30, 2022 AND MAIL IT TO KICKHAM HANLEY PLLC, 32121 WOODWARD AVENUE, SUITE 300, ROYAL OAK, MICHIGAN 48073 OR EMAIL THE COMPLETED FORM TO KHTEMP@KICKHAMHANLEY.COM OR SUBMIT CLAIM FORM ONLINE AT WWW.KICKHAMHANLEY.COM WHETHER YOU MAIL OR EMAIL THE COMPLETED FORM, IT MUST BE RECEIVED BY KICKHAM HANLEY ON OR BEFORE SEPTEMBER 30, 2022.

IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A WATER AND SEWER BILLING ACCOUNT WITH THE CITY OF DETROIT, YOU ARE NOT ELIGIBLE TO RECEIVE YOUR PRO RATA SHARE IN THE FORM OF A CREDIT. IF YOU PAID THE PFL CHARGES BUT ARE NO LONGER A WATER OR SEWER CUSTOMER OF THE CITY AS OF 21 DAYS PRIOR TO THE HEARING ON THE FINAL APPROVAL YOU MUST SUBMIT A CLAIM FORM. THE ONLY WAY FOR CLASS MEMBERS WHO ARE NO LONGER WATER, SEWER OR STORMWATER CUSTOMERS OF THE CITY TO RECEIVE A PORTION OF THE NET SETTLEMENT FUND IS FOR THEM TO FILE A CLAIM.

EXHIBIT B

STATE OF MICHIGAN
WAYNE COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT

UNITED HOUSE OF PRAYER,
a District of Columbia non-profit
corporation, individually and as
representative of a class of
similarly-situated persons and entities,

Case No. 20-014218-CZ
Hon. Annette J. Berry

Plaintiff,

v.

CITY OF DETROIT,
a municipal corporation,

Defendant.

Gregory D. Hanley (P51204)
Jamie Warrow (P61521)
Edward F. Kickham Jr. (P70332)
Kickham Hanley PLLC
32121 Woodward Avenue, Suite 300
Royal Oak, Michigan 48073
(248) 544-1500
ghanley@kickhamhanley.com
ekickhamjr@kickhamhanley.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class

Sonal Hope Mithani (P51984)
Caroline B. Giordano (P76658)
Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, P.L.C.
101 North Main Street, 7th Floor
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48104
(734) 668 7786
mithani@millercanfield.com
giordano@millercanfield.com
Attorneys for Defendant

**STIPULATED ORDER REGARDING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF
CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT, NOTICE AND SCHEDULING**

At a session of said Court held in the
City of Detroit, County of Wayne
State of Michigan on _____
PRESENT: HON. _____
Circuit Court Judge

Plaintiff has commenced this action (the “Lawsuit”) challenging a charge for private fire line service (the “PFL Charges”) imposed by the City on users of its private fire line services. Plaintiff alleged that the cost assumptions and data underlying the rates (“PFL Rates”) with which the City, through its Water and Sewerage Department (“DWSD”), calculates its PFL Charges result in PFL Charges that exceed the actual expenses of providing private fire line service to the City’s fire line

service customers and that the excess pays for the City's governmental functions other than private fire line service as more particularly described in Plaintiff's Complaint (the "Complaint") in the Lawsuit;

The Complaint alleges that the Lawsuit should be maintained as a class action on behalf of a class (the "Class") consisting of all persons or entities which paid or incurred PFL Charges prior to and during the pendency of the Lawsuit;

The City denies that the PFL Rates and PFL Charges are improper or in excess of the actual expenses of providing private fire line service to the City's fire line service customers; denies that it has committed any unlawful, wrongful or tortious acts or omissions, violated any constitutional provision or statute, or breached any duties of any kind whatsoever; denies that it is in any way liable to any member of the Class; and states that the claims asserted in the Lawsuit have no substance in fact or law, and the City has meritorious defenses to such claims; but, nevertheless, has agreed to settle this matter to avoid further expense, inconvenience, and distraction and risks of burdensome and protracted litigation, and to obtain total and final peace, satisfaction and protection from the claims asserted in the Lawsuit;

Plaintiff and Defendant in this action intend to make application to this Court, pursuant to MCR 3.501(E), for a Final Order approving the settlement of this class action in accordance with the terms set forth in the Class Action Settlement Agreement ("Agreement"), executed by the parties on August __, 2022, and attached hereto as Exhibit 1, and they seek preliminary approval of the Agreement for purposes of, among other things, notifying class members of the proposed settlement;

The Court has been made aware of the settlement process leading to the agreement reached, and counsel have demonstrated that the settlement is within a range of reasonableness and is the result of arm's length bargaining of counsel well versed in the issues.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. Unless defined otherwise herein, all capitalized terms shall have the definitions and meanings accorded to them in the Agreement.

2. The Court preliminarily approves the terms of the Agreement as fair, reasonable and adequate. The Court finds that the Settlement was reached in the absence of collusion, and is the produce of informed, good-faith, arm's length negotiations between the Parties and their counsel. Pursuant to MCR 3.501, the "Class," as defined in Paragraph 2 of the Agreement, is hereby certified for settlement purposes only.

3. A hearing (the "Settlement Hearing") will be held before this Court on **October 21, 2022 at 9:00 a.m.**, to determine whether the proposed settlement between Plaintiff and Defendant, on the terms and conditions provided in the Agreement, is fair, reasonable and adequate and should be approved by the Court, to determine whether a final judgment should be entered dismissing this Lawsuit with prejudice, and without costs, and to determine whether to award attorneys' fees and expenses to Class Counsel and the amount of such fees and expenses.

4. The Court approves the notification to the members of the Class regarding the Settlement and right to hearing, as authorized in Paragraphs 5 and 7 of this Order, finding that such notification is the best notice practicable under the circumstances, is in compliance with MCR 3.501, and the requirements of due process of law, and will adequately inform Class Members of their rights.

5. On or before twenty-eight (28) days from the entry of this Order, Plaintiff's Counsel shall cause a Notice of Proposed Class Action Settlement ("Notice"), substantially in the form attached to the Agreement as Exhibit "B," to be mailed to members of the Class. In addition, Plaintiff's Counsel shall cause the Notice substantially in the form attached to the Agreement as Exhibit "A" to be published twice in the Detroit Free Press on or before twenty-eight (28) days from the entry of this Order.

6. The law firm of Kickham Hanley PLLC (“KH”) is hereby appointed as Class Counsel and Claims-Escrow Administrator for this Action. KH is authorized to use the services of a third-party administrator (“TPA”), as provided in the Agreement. Defendant will hold and administer a portion of the Settlement Fund to apply credits as described in Paragraphs 3, 5, 9, 10,11 and 12 of the Agreement. KH (with the assistance of a TPA) is authorized to implement the notice requirements set forth in and approved by this Order and to distribute refunds in accordance with the Agreement.

7. The Court directs anyone within the Class definition who wishes to be excluded from the Class and to exercise the right to opt-out of the Class to follow the opt-out procedures and deadlines set forth in the Notice. Any Class Member who does not opt-out may appear personally, or by counsel of his or her own choice and at his or her own expense, at the Settlement Hearing to show cause why: (a) the proposed settlement of the claims asserted should or should not be approved as fair, just, reasonable, adequate and in good faith; or (b) judgment should or should not be entered thereon; provided, however, that no Class Member will be heard at the Hearing or be entitled to contest the approval of the terms and conditions of the proposed settlement, and/or the judgment to be entered thereon approving the same, the attorneys’ fees and expenses to be paid, or other matter(s) that may be considered by the Court at or in connection with said settlement hearings. If any Class member chooses to appear, the Class Member shall file with the Court and serve upon counsel listed below at least thirty (30) days prior to the hearing written objections that set forth the name of this matter as defined in the Notice, the objector’s full name, address and telephone number, an explanation of the basis upon which the objector claims to be a Class Member, all grounds for the objection including any known legal support for the objection, the number of times in which the objector has objected to a class action settlement in the past five years and a caption of each case in which an objection was filed, the identity of all counsel representing the objector at the hearing, a statement confirming whether the objector intends to appear and/or testify at the hearing (along with

a disclosure of all testifying witnesses) and the signature of the objector (not just the objector's attorney):

Gregory D. Hanley
Kickham Hanley PLLC
32121 Woodward Avenue, Suite 300
Royal Oak, Michigan 48073
(248) 544-1500
Counsel for Plaintiff and the Class

and

Sonal Hope Mithani
Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, P.L.C.
101 North Main Street, Seventh Floor
Ann Arbor, MI 48104
(734) 668-7786
Counsel for Defendant

8. Any Class Member who does not opt out and who does not object in the manner provided above shall be deemed to have waived any and all objections to the fairness, adequacy, or reasonableness of the proposed settlements or the award of attorney's fees and expenses, and shall be bound by all determinations and judgments in the Lawsuit concerning the Settlement, including, but not limited to the Release and Covenant set forth in Paragraph 26 of the Agreement.

9. As stated in Paragraph 6, KH is authorized to serve as the Claims-Escrow Administrator. The Claims-Escrow Administrator, with the assistance of a TPA, shall be responsible for holding the Settlement Fund in escrow, determining the eligibility of Class Members to receive credits or payments, determining the size of each Allowed Claim, distributing the payments to Class Members with Allowed Claims, preparing a distribution report along with the monetary amount of each Class Member's share of the settlement in accordance with Paragraph 11 of the Agreement, and refunding to Defendant the unclaimed portion of the Net Settlement Fund as required by Paragraph 13 of the Agreement. The Claims-Escrow Administrator shall also be responsible for: (a) recording receipt of all responses to the Notice; (b) preserving until further Order of this Court any and all

written communications from Class members or any other person in response to the Notice; and (c) making any necessary filings with the Internal Revenue Service. The Claims-Escrow Administrator may respond to inquiries, but copies of all written answers to such inquiries will be maintained and made available for inspection by all counsel in this action.

10. All papers in support of the settlement shall be filed with the Court and served on the other parties no later than seven (7) days prior to the Settlement Hearing.

11. The Court expressly reserves its right to adjourn and/or reschedule the Settlement Hearing without any further notice to members of the Class. The Court retains jurisdiction of this action to consider all further applications arising out of or connected with the proposed settlement herein.

12. All pretrial and trial proceedings in the Lawsuit are stayed and suspended until further order of the Court. Pending the final determination of the fairness, reasonableness and adequacy of the settlements, no Plaintiff or member of the class may institute or commence any action or proceeding against Defendant asserting any of the claims asserted in this action.

13. Subject to the terms of Paragraphs 14-15 of this Order, if the Agreement and Settlement is disapproved, in part or in whole, by the Court, or any appellate court; if dismissal of the Lawsuit with prejudice against Defendant cannot be accomplished; if a final judgment on the terms set forth in Paragraph 25 of the Agreement is not entered within One Hundred Fifty (150) days after the entry of the Order of Preliminary Approval, or if the Agreement and Settlement otherwise is not fully consummated and effected:

a. The Agreement shall have no further force and effect and it and all negotiations and proceedings connected therewith shall be without prejudice to the rights of Defendant, the Named Plaintiff and the Class;

b. Any discussions, offers, negotiations, or information exchanged in association with the Settlement shall not be discoverable or offered into evidence or used in the Lawsuit or any other action or proceeding for any purpose. No publicly disseminated information regarding the Settlement, including, without limitation, the Notice, court filings, orders and public statements may be used as evidence, or construed as admissions or concessions of fact by or against either Party on any point of fact or law. In addition, neither the fact of, nor any documents relating to, either Party's withdrawal from the Settlement, any failure of the Court to approve the Settlement, and/or any objections or interventions may be used as evidence or construed as an admission or concession by the City or by Plaintiff on any point of fact or law. All Parties to the Lawsuit shall stand in the same position as if the Agreement had not been negotiated, made or filed with the Court;

c. The Claims-Escrow Administrator shall immediately return to Defendant any and all monies provided by Defendant for settlement purposes; and

d. The Court shall grant reasonable continuances of the Lawsuit for the Parties to prepare and file dispositive motions, prepare for trial, or prepare and file appellate briefs.

14. Defendant and Class Counsel may, in their sole and exclusive discretion, elect to waive any or all of the terms, conditions or requirements stated in Paragraph 13 of this Order. Such waiver must be memorialized in a writing signed by Defendant and/or its counsel and/or Class Counsel and delivered via certified mail to all counsel of record, or it will have no force or effect.

15. Defendant and Class Counsel may, in their sole and exclusive discretion, elect to extend any or all of the deadlines stated in Paragraph 13 of this Order. Such extension must be memorialized in a writing signed by Defendant and/or its counsel and/or Class Counsel and delivered via certified mail to all counsel of record, or it will have no force or effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED:

Dated: _____, 2022.

Wayne County Circuit Court Judge

We hereby stipulate to the entry of the above order.

Approved as to form and substance:

/s/ Gregory D. Hanley
Gregory D. Hanley (P51204)
Kickham Hanley PLLC
32121 Woodward Avenue, Suite 300
Royal Oak, MI 48073
(248) 544-1500
Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class

/s/ Sonal Hope Mithani
Sonal Hope Mithani (P51984)
Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, P.L.C.
101 North Main Street, Seventh Floor
Ann Arbor, MI 48104
(734) 668-7786
Attorneys for Defendant

EXHIBIT C

**LEGAL NOTICE
NOTICE OF PROPOSED CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT**

IF YOU PAID THE CITY FOR PRIVATE FIRE LINE SERVICE AT ANY TIME BETWEEN JULY 1, 2020 AND JUNE 30, 2022 AND ARE STILL A WATER AND SEWER CUSTOMER OF THE CITY YOU WILL RECEIVE A CREDIT AGAINST YOUR PFL ACCOUNT. YOU NEED NOT FILE A CLAIM OR TAKE ANY OTHER ACTION TO RECEIVE THIS CREDIT.

IF YOU PAID THE CITY FOR PRIVATE FIRE LINE SERVICE AT ANY TIME BETWEEN JULY 1, 2020 AND JUNE 30, 2022 AND YOU ARE NO LONGER A WATER OR SEWER CUSTOMER OF THE CITY, AND WISH TO RECEIVE A CASH REFUND IF YOU QUALIFY FOR SUCH REFUND, YOU MUST SUBMIT THE ATTACHED CLAIM FORM ON OR BEFORE SEPTEMBER 30, 2022 AND MAIL IT TO:

**KICKHAM HANLEY PLLC, 32121 WOODWARD AVENUE, SUITE 300, ROYAL OAK,
MICHIGAN 48073 OR EMAIL THE COMPLETED FORM TO
KHTEMP@KICKHAMHANLEY.COM OR SUBMIT CLAIM FORM ONLINE AT
WWW.KICKHAMHANLEY.COM.**

WHETHER YOU MAIL, EMAIL, OR SUBMIT FORM ONLINE, IT MUST BE RECEIVED BY KICKHAM HANLEY ON OR BEFORE SEPTEMBER 30, 2022.

IN ORDER TO RECEIVE A REFUND AS PART OF THIS CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT, YOU ARE REQUIRED TO SUBMIT A WRITTEN CLAIM.

IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A WATER AND SEWER BILLING ACCOUNT WITH THE CITY OF DETROIT, YOU ARE NOT ELIGIBLE TO RECEIVE YOUR PRO RATA SHARE IN THE FORM OF A CREDIT. YOU MUST SUBMIT A WRITTEN CLAIM IN ORDER TO RECEIVE ANY PORTION OF THE SETTLEMENT FUND.

PLEASE RETAIN THIS NOTICE

A Michigan court authorized this notice.

STATE OF MICHIGAN
WAYNE COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT

UNITED HOUSE OF PRAYER,
a District of Columbia non-profit
corporation, individually and as
representative of a class of
similarly-situated persons and entities,

Plaintiff,

v.

CITY OF DETROIT,
a municipal corporation,

Defendant.

Case No. 20-014218-CZ
Hon. Annette J. Berry

Gregory D. Hanley (P51204)
Jamie Warrow (P61521)
Edward F. Kickham Jr. (P70332)
Kickham Hanley PLLC
32121 Woodward Avenue, Suite 300
Royal Oak, Michigan 48073
(248) 544-1500
ghanley@kickhamhanley.com
ekickhamjr@kickhamhanley.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class

Sonal Hope Mithani (P51984)
Caroline B. Giordano (P76658)
Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, P.L.C.
101 North Main Street, 7th Floor
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48104
(734) 668 7786
mithani@millercanfield.com
giordano@millercanfield.com
Attorneys for Defendant

LEGAL NOTICE

NOTICE OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

TO: All persons and entities who or which have paid or incurred the City of Detroit's (the "City") charges for private fire line service between July 1, 2020 and June 30, 2022

You are hereby notified that a proposed settlement in the amount of \$3,970,371 has been reached with the City of Detroit in a class action lawsuit pending in Wayne County Circuit Court titled *United House of Prayer v. City of Detroit*, Case No. 20-014218-CZ, presiding Judge Annette J. Berry (the "Lawsuit"). The Lawsuit challenges a charge for private fire line service (the "PFL Charges") imposed by the City on users of its private fire line services. Plaintiff has brought these claims on behalf of itself and a class of all others similarly situated.

Plaintiff is an entity which has paid the PFL Charges imposed by the City. Plaintiff contends that the cost assumptions and data underlying the rates with which the City, through its Water and Sewerage Department ("DWSD"), calculates its PFL Charges result in PFL Charges which substantially exceed the actual expenses of providing private fire line service to the City's fire line service customers, and that the excess pays for the City's governmental functions other than private fire line service.

The Plaintiff seeks a judgment from the Court against the City which would order and direct the City to disgorge and refund all PFL Charges to which Plaintiff and the class are entitled and would grant any other appropriate relief.

The City denies that the City's PFL Charges are improper or substantially in excess of the actual expenses of providing private fire line service to the city's fire line service customers. Thus, the City denies the Plaintiff's claims, denies any wrongdoing, and contends that it should prevail in the Lawsuit. The Court has made no rulings concerning the merits of the Lawsuit at this time.

On May 17, 2021, the Court entered an order certifying the Lawsuit as a class action. You are receiving this Notice because the City's records indicate that you paid or incurred PFL Charges between July 1, 2020 and June 30, 2022 and are therefore a member of the class.

For settlement purposes, the parties have agreed that the Class consists of all persons and entities who or which paid or incurred the PFL Charges between July 1, 2020 and June 30, 2022 and who do not request to be excluded from the Class. The Settlement Agreement is intended to settle all of the Claims of the Class.

The principal terms of the Settlement Agreement are as follows:

For the purposes of the proposed Settlement, the City expressly denies any and all allegations that it acted improperly or unlawfully, but, to avoid litigation costs, the City has agreed to create a Settlement Fund (the "Settlement Fund") in the amount of Three Million Nine Hundred Seventy Thousand Three Hundred and Seventy-One Dollars (\$3,970,371) for the benefit of the Class ("Settlement Amount"). The Settlement Amount will be utilized, with Court approval, to provide credits or refunds to the Class, and to pay Class Counsel an award of attorneys' fees, the total amount of which shall not exceed 33% of the Settlement Amount, and expenses for the conduct of the litigation.

The “Net Settlement Fund” is the Settlement Amount less the combined total of: (a) the attorneys’ fees awarded to Class Counsel by the Court; (b) expenses reimbursed pursuant to the terms of the Settlement; (c) out-of-pocket expenses of the Claims-Escrow Administrator, and (d) any incentive award made by the Court to the class representative in an amount not to exceed \$20,000.

The Net Settlement Fund shall be used to give credits or pay refunds to Class Members as described below.

Each Class Member’s share in the Net Settlement Fund shall be referred to herein as his, her or its “Pro Rata Share,” and each Class Member’s Pro Rata Share of the Net Settlement Fund will be distributed via an account credit or a refund payment. The Pro Rata Share to be allocated to each Class Member shall be determined as described below.

The Net Settlement Fund shall be distributed as follows:

a. The Claims-Escrow Administrator shall calculate each Class Member’s pro rata share of the Net Settlement Fund (the “Pro Rata Share”). Only (i) those Class Members who paid or incurred PFL Charges during the Class Period and/or (ii) those Class Members with active private fire line accounts or inactive private fire line accounts that are subject to collection or have not been written off (provided that the account is tied to a person or entity that paid or incurred the PFL Charges on or after July 1, 2020) are entitled to distribution of a Pro Rata Share of the Net Settlement Fund. The size of each Class Member’s Pro Rata Share shall be determined by (1) calculating the total amount of PFL Charges the City assessed against the property or properties of that Class Member during the Class Period and then (2) dividing that number by the total amount of PFL Charges the City assessed against all Class Members during the Class Period and then (3) multiplying that fraction by the amount of the Net Settlement Fund. An example appears below:

Total PFL Charges assessed against a Class Member during the Class Period -- \$5,000

Total PFL Charges assessed against all Class Members during the Class Period -- \$7,400,000

Net Settlement Fund -- \$2,600,000

Class Member’s Pro Rata Share – $\$5,000 / \$7,400,000 \times \$2,600,000 = \$1,756.76$

b. All Class Members as of June 30, 2022 who remain current water and sewer customers of the City as of 21 days prior to the hearing on the final approval of the settlement are eligible to receive Credits against their PFL accounts. Class Members do not need to do anything to receive a Credit, but Class Members who have unpaid balances for the PFL Charges that are more 30 days past due as of July 31, 2022 (the “Outstanding Balance”) will have their Credits reduced by the amount of their PFL accounts’ Outstanding Balance as further set forth in Paragraph 10(e) of the Settlement Agreement.

c. Only Class Members who are no longer PFL customers of the City as of 21 days prior to the hearing on the final approval of the settlement and do not have any unpaid account balances with DWSD which equal or exceed their Pro Rata Shares are eligible to receive a distribution of cash via check (a “Refund”) from the Net Settlement Fund. To qualify to receive a Refund from the Net Settlement Fund, **Class Members must no longer be water or sewer customers of the City** and must submit sworn claims (the “Claims”) which identify their names, addresses, and the periods of time in which they incurred or paid the PFL Charges in order to participate in the Settlement. Class Members who submit qualifying Claims are referred to as “Claiming Class Members.” The Claiming Class Members will be required to submit those claims no later than September 30, 2022.

d. Class Members thus will be separated into three (3) groups, each of which will benefit from the Settlement as follows.

i. Group 1 – Class Members who are PFL customers and have Outstanding Balances equal to or greater than their respective Pro Rata Shares of the Net Settlement Fund. Group 1 Class Members will receive a Credit against their Outstanding Balances in the amount of their Pro Rata Shares paid from the City Administered Portion irrespective of whether the Class Member has submitted a sworn claim. If the Class

Member's Pro Rata Share of the Net Settlement Fund is less than such Class Member's Outstanding Balance, the Outstanding Balance will be reduced by the amount of that Pro Rata Share, and the Class Member will still owe any remaining Outstanding Balance.

ii. Group 2 – Class Members who are PFL customers and have no Outstanding Balances or whose Outstanding Balances are less than their Pro Rata Shares of the Net Settlement Fund. Group 2 Class Members without Outstanding Balances will receive from the City Administered Portion a Credit to be applied against future charges that accrue in in the Class Member's PFL account until the Credit is exhausted. Group 2 Class Members who have Outstanding Balances will receive a Credit against their Outstanding Balances to the extent of their Outstanding Balances. The Credit will then be applied against any future charges that accrue in in the Class Member's PFL account until the Credit is exhausted.

iii. Group 3 – Class Members who are no longer PFL customers of the City as of 21 days prior to the hearing on the final approval of the Settlement and do not have any unpaid account balances with DWSD which equal or exceed their Pro Rata Shares. Group 3 Class Members are eligible to receive a distribution of cash via check (a "Refund") from the Net Settlement Fund. **Group 3 Class Members must submit timely sworn claims to receive a Refund.**

It is very important for any Class Member who paid the PFL Charges but who is no longer a water or sewer customer of the City as of 21 days prior to the hearing on the final approval of the to submit a Claim. The only way for Class Members who are no longer water, sewer or stormwater customers of the City to receive a portion of the Net Settlement Fund is for them to file Claims.

In addition to the credits and refunds described above, the parties have agreed that the City shall be allowed to utilize its FY 2022 rates through July 31, 2022. On August 1, 2022 the City will implement the PFL Rates stated in paragraph 15 of the Settlement Agreement. For FY 2023, the City will implement new PFL Rates as described in paragraph 16 of the Agreement. During a five-year prospective relief period beginning August 1, 2023, any annual increases in the PFL Revenue Requirement will be limited to no greater than 1.671% of the total water revenue requirement covered by the rates, except that, if during any fiscal year in the Prospective Relief Period, the estimated private fire line bad debt expense exceeds 10% of the projected PFL Revenue Requirement, the City will have the authority to increase the PFL Revenue Requirement above 1.671% of the total water revenue requirement covered by rates to account for higher private fire line bad debt expense.

Class Members who receive credits or refunds from the Net Settlement Fund shall, on behalf of himself, herself or itself, and his, her or its parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, members, shareholders, predecessors, heirs, administrators, officers, directors, successors, assigns, and any person the Class Member represents, intending to be legally bound hereby, for good and valuable consideration, the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, hereby absolutely, fully and forever releases, relieves, remises and discharges the City, and each of its successors and assigns, present and former agents, representatives, employees, insurers, affiliated entities, attorneys and administrators, of and from any and all manner of actions, causes of action, suits, debts, accounts, understandings, contracts, agreements, controversies, judgments, consequential damages, compensatory damages, punitive damages, claims, liabilities, and demands of any kind or nature whatsoever, known or unknown, which arise from the beginning of time through July 31, 2022 concerning (1) the City's calculation or assessment of the PFL Rates and/or PFL Charges; (2) the components of costs included in the PFL Rates and/or PFL Charges; (3) the City's efforts to charge and/or collect PFL Rates and/or PFL Charges; and/or (4) the City's PFL's Revenue Requirement. This release is intended to include all claims that were asserted or could have been asserted in the Lawsuit concerning the City's PFL Rates, PFL Charges, and/or PFL Revenue Requirement. In executing the Release and Covenant Not to Sue, each Class Member also covenants that: (a) except for actions or suits based upon breaches of the terms of this Agreement or to enforce rights provided for in this Agreement, he, she or it will refrain from commencing any action or suit, or prosecuting any pending action or suit, in law or in equity, against the City on account of any action or cause of action released hereby; (b) none of the claims released under the Release and Covenant Not To Sue has been assigned to any other party; and (c) he, she or it accepts and assumes the risk that if any fact or circumstance is found, suspected, or claimed hereinafter to be other than or different from the facts or circumstances now believed to be true, the Release

and Covenant Not To Sue shall be and remain effective notwithstanding any such difference in any such facts or circumstances.

Class Members who wish to exclude themselves from the Settlement may write to the Administrator, stating that they do not wish to participate in the Settlement and that they wish to retain their right to file an action against the City. This proposed settlement should not be interpreted, in any way, as suggesting that the claims alleged against the City have legal or factual merit. The City has challenged the validity of Plaintiff's claims and many of the substantive legal and factual issues have not been resolved. **This request for exclusion must be postmarked no later than September 30, 2022 and mailed to: Kickham Hanley PLLC, 32121 Woodward Avenue, Suite 300, Royal Oak, Michigan 48073 or emailed to khtemp@kickhamhanley.com.**

By remaining a Class Member, you will be bound by the terms of the proposed settlement and will be barred from bringing a separate action against the City for the claims asserted in the Lawsuit at your own expense through your own attorney. You will, however, receive your Pro Rata Share of the Net Settlement Fund if you do not request exclusion from the Class. If you were to successfully pursue such a separate action to conclusion, recovery might be available to you which is not available in this class action settlement. Whether to remain a member of this class or to request exclusion from this class action to attempt to pursue a separate action at your own expense without the assistance of the City in this Action is a question you should ask your own attorney. Class Counsel cannot and will not advise you on this issue.

Pursuant to the Order of the Court dated _____, a Settlement Hearing will be held in the Wayne County Circuit Court, Detroit, Michigan 48226 at 9 a.m., on October 21, 2022, to determine whether the proposed Settlement as set forth in the Settlement Agreement dated _____, is fair, reasonable, and adequate and should be approved by the Court, whether the Lawsuit should be dismissed pursuant to the Settlement and whether counsel for Plaintiff Class should be awarded counsel fees and expenses. At the Settlement Hearing, any member of the Class may appear in person or through counsel and be heard to the extent allowed by the Court in support of, or in opposition to, the fairness, reasonableness and adequacy of the proposed Settlement.

No Class member will be heard in opposition to the Proposed Settlement unless, on or before September 30, 2022 they file and serve written objections that set forth the name of this matter as defined in the Notice, the objector's full name, address and telephone number, an explanation of the basis upon which the objector claims to be a Class Member, all grounds for the objection including any known legal support for the objection, the number of times in which the objector has objected to a class action settlement in the past five years and a caption of each case in which an objection was filed, the identity of all counsel representing the objector at the hearing, a statement confirming whether the objector intends to appear and/or testify at the hearing (along with a disclosure of all testifying witnesses) and the signature of the objector (not just the objector's attorney) and serves by first class mail copies thereof upon each of the following attorneys:

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Gregory D. Hanley, Esq.
Kickham Hanley PLLC
32121 Woodward Avenue, Suite 300
Royal Oak, Michigan 48073

Attorneys for Defendants

Sonal Hope Mithani, Esq.
Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone
101 North Main Street, 7th Floor
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48104

Any Class member who does not make and serve written objections in the manner provided above shall be deemed to have waived such objections and shall be forever foreclosed from making any objections (by appeal or otherwise) to the proposed Settlement.

IF YOU PAID THE CITY FOR PRIVATE FIRE LINE SERVICE AT ANY TIME BETWEEN JULY 1, 2020 AND JUNE 30, 2022, ARE NO LONGER A WATER OR SEWER CUSTOMER OF THE CITY, AND WISH TO RECEIVE A CASH REFUND, IF YOU QUALIFY FOR SUCH REFUND, YOU MUST SUBMIT THE ATTACHED CLAIM FORM ON OR BEFORE SEPTEMBER 30, 2022 AND MAIL IT TO KICKHAM HANLEY PLLC, 32121 WOODWARD

AVENUE, SUITE 300, ROYAL OAK, MICHIGAN 48073 OR EMAIL THE COMPLETED FORM TO KHTEMP@KICKHAMHANLEY.COM OR SUBMIT CLAIM FORM ONLINE AT WWW.KICKHAMHANLEY.COM WHETHER YOU MAIL OR EMAIL THE COMPLETED FORM, IT MUST BE RECEIVED BY KICKHAM HANLEY ON OR BEFORE SEPTEMBER 30, 2022. THE ONLY WAY FOR CLASS MEMBERS WHO ARE NO LONGER WATER, SEWER OR STORMWATER CUSTOMERS OF THE CITY TO RECEIVE A PORTION OF THE NET SETTLEMENT FUND IS FOR THEM TO FILE A CLAIM.

IF YOU PAID THE CITY FOR PRIVATE FIRE LINE SERVICE AT ANY TIME BETWEEN JULY 1, 2020 AND JUNE 30, 2022 AND ARE STILL A WATER AND SEWER CUSTOMER OF THE CITY YOU WILL RECEIVE A CREDIT AGAINST YOUR PFL ACCOUNT. YOU NEED NOT FILE A CLAIM OR TAKE OTHER ACTION TO RECEIVE THIS CREDIT.

IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A WATER AND SEWER BILLING ACCOUNT WITH THE CITY OF DETROIT, YOU ARE NOT ELIGIBLE TO RECEIVE YOUR PRO RATA SHARE IN THE FORM OF A CREDIT. YOU MUST SUBMIT A WRITTEN CLAIM IN ORDER TO RECEIVE ANY PORTION OF THE SETTLEMENT FUND. For a more detailed statement of the matters involved in the Lawsuit, including the terms of the proposed Settlement, you are referred to papers on file in the Lawsuit, which may be inspected during regular business hours at the Office of the Clerk of Circuit Court for Wayne County, Michigan. You may also view the Settlement Agreement and other important court documents at www.kickhamhanley.com.

Should you have any questions with respect to this Notice of the proposed settlement of the Lawsuit generally, you should raise them with your own attorney or direct them to counsel for the Class, IN WRITING OR BY EMAIL TO KHTEMP@KICKHAMHANLEY.COM, NOT BY TELEPHONE, identified as Attorneys for Plaintiffs, above. **DO NOT CONTACT THE COURT, THE CLERK OF THE COURT, THE DEFENDANT, OR THE ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT.**

EXHIBIT D

STATE OF MICHIGAN
WAYNE COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT

UNITED HOUSE OF PRAYER,
a District of Columbia non-profit
corporation, individually and as
representative of a class of
similarly-situated persons and entities,

Case No. 20-014218-CZ
Hon. Annette J. Berry

Plaintiff,

v.

CITY OF DETROIT,
a municipal corporation,

Defendant.

Gregory D. Hanley (P51204)
Jamie Warrow (P61521)
Edward F. Kickham Jr. (P70332)
Kickham Hanley PLLC
32121 Woodward Avenue, Suite 300
Royal Oak, Michigan 48073
(248) 544-1500
ghanley@kickhamhanley.com
ekickhamjr@kickhamhanley.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class

Sonal Hope Mithani (P51984)
Caroline B. Giordano (P76658)
Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, P.L.C.
101 North Main Street, 7th Floor
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48104
(734) 668 7786
mithani@millercanfield.com
giordano@millercanfield.com
Attorneys for Defendant

FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER APPROVING CLASS SETTLEMENT

At a session of said Court held in the
City of Detroit, County of Wayne,
State of Michigan on _____
PRESENT: HON. _____
Circuit Court Judge

WHEREAS, Plaintiff and Defendant in this action have moved this Court, pursuant to MCR 3.501(E), for an order approving the settlement of this class action in accordance with the terms set forth in the Class Action Settlement Agreement (“Agreement”) executed by counsel for the parties, and

WHEREAS, this Court having held a hearing, as noticed, on October 21, 2022, pursuant to the Order Regarding Preliminary Approval of Settlement, Notice and Scheduling, dated _____ (the “Order”), to determine the fairness, adequacy and reasonableness of a proposed settlement of the Class Action; and due and adequate notice (the “Notice”) having been made by mailing in a manner consistent with Paragraphs 4, 5, and 6 of the Order; and all such persons having been given an opportunity to object to or participate in the settlement; and the Court having heard and considered the matter, including all papers filed in connection therewith and the oral presentations of counsel at said hearing; and good cause appearing therefor,

For the reasons stated on the record, IT IS HEREBY FOUND, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

1. The terms of the Agreement are fair, reasonable and adequate and in the best interests of the members of the Class and are hereby approved.

2. Plaintiff and Defendant are hereby ordered and directed to perform and consummate the settlement set forth in the Agreement in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Agreement.

3. The notification to the Class members regarding the Settlement is the best notice practicable under the circumstances and is in compliance with MCR 3.501(E) and the requirements of due process of law.

4. This Lawsuit is hereby dismissed with prejudice, and without costs to any party except as provided for in the Agreement.

5. Kickham Hanley PLLC, counsel for the Class, is hereby awarded attorneys’ fees and costs in the amount of \$_____, to be paid as set forth in the Agreement. Plaintiff United House of Prayer is granted an incentive award of \$_____, to be paid as set forth in the Agreement.

6. Without any further action by anyone, Plaintiffs and all members of the Class as certified by the Order dated May 17, 2021 who previously did not submit a timely and valid Request for Exclusion are deemed to have executed the following Release and Covenant not to Sue which is hereby approved by the Court:

In executing the Release and Covenant Not To Sue, each Class Member, on behalf of himself, herself or itself, and his, her or its parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, members, shareholders, predecessors, heirs, administrators, officers, directors, successors, assigns, and any person the Class Member represents, intending to be legally bound hereby, for good and valuable consideration, the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, hereby absolutely, fully and forever releases, relieves, remises and discharges the City, and each of its successors and assigns, present and former agents, representatives, employees, insurers, affiliated entities, attorneys and administrators, of and from any and all manner of actions, causes of action, suits, debts, accounts, understandings, contracts, agreements, controversies, judgments, consequential damages, compensatory damages, punitive damages, claims, liabilities, and demands of any kind or nature whatsoever, known or unknown, which arise from the beginning of time through July 31, 2022 concerning (1) the City's calculation or assessment of the PFL Rates and/or PFL Charges; (2) the components of costs included in the PFL Rates and/or PFL Charges; (3) the City's efforts to charge and/or collect PFL Rates and/or PFL Charges; and/or (4) the City's PFL's Revenue Requirement. This release is intended to include all claims that were asserted or could have been asserted in the Lawsuit concerning the City's PFL Rates, PFL Charges, and/or PFL Revenue Requirement. In executing the Release and Covenant Not to Sue, each Class Member also covenants that: (a) except for actions or suits based upon breaches of the terms of this Agreement or to enforce rights provided for in this Agreement, he, she or it will refrain from commencing any action or suit, or prosecuting any pending action or suit, in law or in equity, against the City on account of any action or cause of action released hereby; (b) none of the claims released under the Release and Covenant Not To Sue has been assigned to any other party; and (c) he, she or it accepts and assumes the risk that if any fact or circumstance is found, suspected, or claimed hereinafter to be other than or different from the facts or circumstances now believed to be true, the Release and Covenant Not To Sue shall be and remain effective notwithstanding any such difference in any such facts or circumstances.

7. This Court retains continuing jurisdiction to effectuate the provisions of the Agreement and the terms of this Order.

IT IS SO ORDERED:

Dated: _____, 2022.

Wayne County Circuit Court Judge

STIPULATED AND AGREED:

/s/ Gregory D. Hanley
Gregory D. Hanley (P51204)
Attorney for Plaintiff

/s/ Sonal Hope Mithani
Sonal Hope Mithani (P51984)
Attorney for Defendant